

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/552,783	LEE, KANG-SAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tara L. Mayo	3671	

**All Participants:**

(1) Tara L. Mayo.

(2) Simon Shim.

**Status of Application:** RCE Filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 August 2007

**Time:** 1:52 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1-7*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**TARA L. MAYO**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to the changes set forth on the attached Examiner's Amendment to obviate minor objections and rejections under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, thereby placing the application in condition for allowance. Regarding the cancellation of claim 2, the Examiner could not find proper support for the additional claimed plurality of holes..